Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/531,853	DE LUCA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ganapathy Krishnan	1623	
All Participants: Status of Application:			
(1) Ganapathy Krishnan.	(3)		
(2) Mr. Jay Cinamon.	(4)		
Date of Interview: 4 November 2010	Time:		
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No			
If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: None			
Claims discussed: 1, 4-6, 34. 37 and 28			
Prior art documents discussed: None			
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: see continuation sheet			
Part III.			
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>			
/Ganapathy Krishnan/ Examiner, Art Unit 1623	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if	appropriate)

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Informed the Attorney of record that:

- 1. In claim 1 recite limitations regarding the degree of esterification and molecuclar weight of hyaluronic acid as taught at page 3, first three paragraphs) in the Declaration of Zanellato filed 4/18/2008.
- 2. Cancel claims 4-6. 37-38 and all withdrawn claims.

Doing the above will put the pending claims in a condition for allowance,

Applicants agreed to the above and authorized an Examiner's amendment. Applicants also requested the Examiner to state their rights to pursue the withdrawn claims in a Continuation.